

**Course Syllabus:
Introduction to Columbia School Linguistics**

Note: certificates of completion will be awarded to participants who complete a minimum of 75% of the course. Only synchronic attendance to sessions will count towards course completion.

	Date	Readings* / Assignments	General Topics	Instructor
Session 1	Tuesday, October 3, 2pm-4pm EST	Required: Stern (2019). Introduction. Suggested: Novotny (2023). A signal-meaning explanation for the distribution of the form <i>through</i> in discourse.	Basic theoretical considerations. Comparison between CS and other functionalist approaches. Case study: A CS analysis of <i>through</i> .	Ludmila Novotny
Session 2	Tuesday, October 10, 2pm-4pm EST	Required: García & Otheguy (1983). Being polite in Ecuador. Assignment: Read the instructions for the data collection assignment and bring any questions you may have.	A CS analysis: Spanish clitics as signals of meaning. Introduction to data collection assignment.	Ludmila Novotny
Session 3	Tuesday, October 17, 2pm-4pm EST	Required: Diver (2012[1975]). The nature of linguistic meaning. Suggested: Huffman (2006). Diver's theory.	Key CS concepts. Contrast between CS and more traditional linguistic approaches.	Ludmila Novotny
Session 4	Tuesday, October 24, 2pm-4pm EST	Required: Tobin (1997: 30-49). <i>Phonology as human behavior</i> , from "The statement of the problem" onwards. Suggested: Van Soeren (2023). The role of word recognition factors and lexical stress in the distribution of consonants in Spanish, English and Dutch.	Phonology in CS. Case study: the distribution of sounds in English, Spanish and Dutch.	Daan van Soeren

Session 5	Tuesday, October 31, 2pm-4pm EST	<p>Required: Diver & Davis (2012: 211-235). Latin voice and case, Part II.</p> <p>Suggested: De Jonge & Di Lollo (2000). <i>Actividad en Salamanca: le, lo y el sistema de casos.</i></p> <p>Assignment: please prepare to share your data collected so far with the class</p>	<p>Introduction to the Latin System of Degree of Control.</p> <p>Case study: <i>le/lo</i> in Spanish.</p> <p>Feedback on data collection.</p>	Daan van Soeren
Session 6	Tuesday, November 7, 2pm-4pm EST	<p>No reading assigned.</p> <p>Assignment: Please prepare 5 questions relating to CS theory, methodology, or application to your own research, for the Q&A with our special guests.</p>	<p>Q&A session with invited CS scholars Nancy Stern, Bob de Jonge and Ricardo Otheguy (first hour).</p> <p>Discussion after the break.</p>	Daan van Soeren
Session 7	Tuesday, November 14, 2pm-4pm EST	<p>Required: Reid (2004). Monosemy, homonymy and polysemy.</p> <p>Assignment: Data collection assignment.</p>	<p>Positing a grammatical system, and why monosemy is favored over polysemy in CS.</p> <p>Students' data-sharing.</p>	Andrew McCormick
Session 8	Tuesday, November 21, 2pm-4pm EST	<p>Required: Diver (2012[1978]). Putting the horse before the cart.</p> <p>Suggested: McCormick (2023). <i>How as a signal of an invariant meaning</i>, Chapters 1 & 4.</p>	<p>Classic CS quantitative validation based on one text.</p> <p>Case study: A CS analysis of <i>how</i>.</p>	Andrew McCormick
Session 9	Tuesday, November 28, 2pm-4pm EST	<p>Required: Sabar (2018). <i>Lexical meaning as a testable hypothesis</i>, Chapters 1-2.</p> <p>Assignment: Data collection assignment.</p>	<p>Using big-data (COCA) in a CS analysis.</p> <p>Students' data-sharing.</p> <p>Wrapping up: Next steps for students</p>	Andrew McCormick

*All readings are available on the Google Classroom Website, in the Classwork folder titled 'Readings.'

Required readings:

- Diver, William. 2012. The nature of linguistic meaning. In Alan Huffman & Joseph Davis (eds.), *Language: Communication and human behavior. The linguistic essays of William Diver*, 47-63. Leiden & Boston: Brill. (Reprinted with revisions from Introduction, *CUWPL 2*, 1975)
- Diver, William. 2012. Putting the horse before the cart: Linguistic analysis and linguistic theory. In Alan Huffman & Joseph Davis (eds.), *Language: Communication and human behavior. The linguistic essays of William Diver*, 47-63. Leiden & Boston: Brill. (Adapted from a talk at McGill University, Montreal, March 1978)
- Diver, William & Joseph Davis. 2012. Latin voice and case. In Alan Huffman & Joseph Davis (eds.), *Language: Communication and human behavior. The linguistic essays of William Diver*, 195-245. Leiden & Boston: Brill.
- García, Érica & Ricardo Otheguy. 1983. Being polite in Ecuador. *Lingua* 61, 103-132. doi: 10.1016/0024-3841(83)90029-3
- Reid, Wallis (2004). Monosemy, homonymy and polysemy. In Ellen Contini-Morava, Robert S. Kirsner & Betsy Rodríguez-Bachiller (eds.), *Cognitive and communicative approaches to linguistic analysis*, 93-129. John Benjamins.
- Sabar, Nadav (2018). *Lexical meaning as a testable hypothesis: The case of English look, see, seem and appear*. John Benjamins.
- Stern, Nancy (2019). Introduction: Columbia School linguistics in the functional-cognitive space of the 21st century. In Nancy Stern, Ricardo Otheguy, Wallis Reid, & Jaseleen Sackler (eds.), *Columbia School Linguistics in the 21st Century*, 1-32. John Benjamins.
- Tobin, Yishai. 1997. *Phonology as human behavior. Theoretical implications and clinical applications*. Durham & London: Duke University Press.

Suggested readings:

- De Jonge, Bob & Betty di Lollo. 2000. Actividad en Salamanca: *le, lo* y el sistema de casos. In Bob de Jonge (ed.), *Estudio analítico del signo lingüístico – teoría y descripción*, 41-59. Amsterdam & Atlanta: Rodopi.
- Huffman, Alan (2006). Diver's theory. In Joseph Davis, Radmila J. Gorup & Nancy Stern (eds.), *Advances in Functional Linguistics: Columbia School beyond its origins*, 41-62. John Benjamins.
- McCormick, Andrew (2023). *How as a signal of an invariant meaning*. New York: CUNY Graduate Center dissertation.
- Novotny, Ludmila (2023). A signal-meaning explanation for the distribution of the form *through* in discourse. Paper presented at the Tenth Brno Conference on Linguistics Studies in English, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic, September 4-5, 2023.
- Van Soeren, D.P. 2023. The Role of word recognition factors and lexical stress in the distribution of consonants in Spanish, English and Dutch. *Journal of Linguistics* 59 (1), 149-177. doi: 10.1017/S0022226722000081